



Marc Bulha — Gamma/Liaison

DAVID ROCKEFELLER

Gary Allen is author of *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*; *The Rockefeller File*; *Kissinger*; *Jimmy Carter/Jimmy Carter*; *Tax Target: Washington*; and, *Ted Kennedy: In Over His Head*. He is an AMERICAN OPINION Contributing Editor.

■ UNTIL RECENTLY David Rockefeller operated in near anonymity. Of course, you can not remain totally anonymous when you are the multi-billionaire head of the world's most powerful bank, leader of the planet's wealthiest family, and chairman of the board of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission, the two most influential organi-

zations on earth. But the man on the street knew him only as a Standard Oil heir and an important banker. To say that David is important is like saying that Hitler was prejudiced.

During the past few months, however, David Rockefeller's name has been running off the business pages into the news, editorial, and even entertainment sections of the na-

David Rockefeller, who heads the Trilaterals, has become a hot political issue. Senator Goldwater writes that Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission "is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States."

tion's newspapers. And it is David's position as founder and head of the Trilateral Commission which has rocketed him into the news. The Commission became an election issue in early Presidential primaries. George Bush, a member until he launched his campaign for the Republican nomination, and John Anderson, a current member who is not the least bit defensive on the subject, have come under heavy questioning about the Commission and their links to Rockefeller.


During the New Hampshire primary both Ronald Reagan and John Connally claimed that Bush's connections with the Trilateral elitists raised serious questions about the independence of the Connecticut preppy who is seeking the Republican nomination. They were echoing the daily editorials of William Loeb, publisher of the *Manchester Union Leader*, the state's largest daily. The tough and determined Loeb, whose very name sends "Liberals" in the mass media into fits of frustration and rage, put on his literary track spikes almost every morning and ran up and down over the carcass of the Trilateral Commission.

Although Mr. Loeb has for decades been the *bête noire* of *Washington Post* and CBS "Liberals," this was the first election in which he hit

out at manipulation of both political parties by the clique of Establishment *Insiders* headed by David Rockefeller. Loeb has long been almost as far to the Right as Thomas Jefferson, and has been an implacable foe of the Eastern "Liberal" Establishment. But now he was determined to expose the international conspiracy involving the Council on Foreign Relations and its Trilateral Commission offspring.

Among other things, William Loeb charged the Trilaterals with advocating "a world order in which multinational corporations . . . can thrive without worrying about so-called national interests." David Rockefeller was named as the leader of this gang abandoning national interests and was toasted to a fare-thee-well. In one front-page editorial, Mr. Loeb wrote: "It is quite clear that this group of extremely powerful men is out to control the world." He asserted that the Commission's "tentacles" reach into the Republican Party and that "here in New Hampshire this group is turning on all its power and control of banks, the media and oil companies" to promote George Bush.

Meanwhile, Mike Thompson of the Florida Conservative Union ran clever advertisements in the major newspapers of New Hampshire, Alabama, and Florida which were head-



lined: "The Same People Who Gave You Jimmy Carter Want Now To Give You George Bush." The text of the ad included the following:

"The purpose of this report is not to allege a 'conspiracy,' but to reveal the formation of a powerful coalition of liberals, multinational corporations, big-city bankers and hungry power brokers who are trying to capitalize on the American voters' swing to conservatism.

"The driving force is David Rockefeller.

"The purpose is to control the American government, regardless of which political party — Democrat or Republican — wins the presidency this coming November.

"The Trojan horse for this scheme is Connecticut Yankee turned Texas oilman George Herbert Walker Bush — the out-of-nowhere Republican who openly admits he is using the same 'game plan' developed for Jimmy Carter in the 1976 presidential nomination campaign."

Since Thompson concluded that the Trilateral Commission "puts emphasis on interdependence, which is a nice euphemism for one-world government," it was clear enough that his claim not to allege a "conspiracy" was purest satire. If C.F.R. and Trilateral efforts to undermine American sovereignty in favor of world government do not constitute conspiracy, then water is no longer wet and fire no longer burns.

Soon even Barry Goldwater had joined the fray against the C.F.R. and the Trilateral Commission in a new book called *With No Apologies*. The Arizona Senator wrote: "In my view, the Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power — political, monetary, intellectual, and ecclesiastical." Goldwater went on to

observe: "Freedom — spiritual, political, economic — is denied any importance in the Trilateral construction of the next century What the Trilaterals truly intend is the creation of a worldwide economic power superior to the political governments of the nation-states involved. . . . As managers and creators of the system, they will rule the future."

Senator Goldwater has come a long way since the days when he embraced those who put the knife in his back during the 1964 campaign. Now alert to Rockefeller efforts to promote a world government, the patriotic Arizona Senator makes clear that he finds the whole business outrageous. In *With No Apologies* Goldwater cites Nelson Rockefeller's seminar speeches at Harvard on "The Future of Federalism," in which the late New York governor advocated the scrapping of our Declaration of Independence and the Constitution in favor of a "new world order" under international control. The Senator reveals:

"The implications in Governor Rockefeller's presentation have become concrete proposals advanced by David Rockefeller's newest international cabal, the Trilateral Commission. Whereas the Council on Foreign Relations is distinctly national in membership, the Trilateral Commission is international. Representation is allocated equally to Western Europe, Japan, and the United States. It is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States."

Goldwater also comments on how the Trilateralists manipulated the 1976 election to put a brother Trilateralist in the White House. According to the Arizona Senator:

(Continued on page eighty-seven.)

ROCKEFELLER

"The Trilateral Commission is a modern Praetorian Guard. David Rockefeller and Zbigniew Brzezinski found Jimmy Carter to be their ideal candidate. They helped him win the nomination and the presidency. To accomplish this purpose, they mobilized the money power of the Wall Street bankers, the intellectual influence of the academic community — which is subservient to the wealth of the great tax-free foundations — and the media controller represented in the membership of the CFR and the Trilateral Commission."

Another aspect of the Rockefeller cabal which alarms the rugged individualist from the West is the attitude of the two groups towards Communism. He writes: "I believe that the Council on Foreign Relations and its ancillary elitist groups [i.e., the *Trilateral Commission*] are indifferent to communism. They have no ideological anchors. In their pursuit of a new world order they are prepared to deal without prejudice with a communist state, a socialist state, a democratic state, monarchy, oligarchy — it's all the same to them."

The C.F.R., created in 1921, and its T.C. offspring, created in 1973, long treasured their anonymity. They were able to work quietly behind the scenes controlling the foreign policy, and much of the domestic policy, of every U.S. Administration since F.D.R. They met in secret and got by with it. Since membership in these groups encompasses controlling interests in the most important of the American mass media it is clear that if they had wanted publicity they could have turned on the media faucet and it would have flowed like wine. But David Rockefeller and his friends wanted publicity like a bur-

glar wants to work in a spotlight.

This magazine, of course, has long reported the machinations of both the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission. My books on them have sold in the millions. But for years the Establishment media were able to prevent widespread public debate on the role of these secretive elitist groups. Everything was done to keep them from becoming a political issue. Bill Loeb put a stop to that in the 1980 Presidential primary. When Senator Goldwater and the Conservative Union joined the fray the Establishment "Liberals" realized they had to counterattack. First into the breach was the *Washington Post*. The *Post*, you may recall, looks upon George McGovern as a highly stable pragmatist and political moderate. Its ties with the C.F.R. crowd go back several decades.

The confident "Liberals" at the *Washington Post* believed from past experience that the best way to handle such charges from the American Right is to lampoon them with sarcasm and ridicule. They lashed out vigorously at opponents of George Bush, calling them self-appointed "true conservatives" determined to lead the party of the pachyderms to oblivion. On its editorial page of February 18, 1980, the *Post* pontificated: "The apparition of Chase Manhattan's David Rockefeller also continues to haunt 'true' conservatives. Mr. Rockefeller, if one is to believe the venom spewing forth in the conservative press, is a closet liberal. That is self-evident because the rich Mr. Rockefeller has made a career of spreading capitalism throughout the world."

Never mind that David Rockefeller has never been an advocate of the Free Market. As Senator Goldwater observed, he will deal with anyone —

and what he promotes is not Free Enterprise but monopoly capitalism supported by government. The *Post* continued:

"In the squint-eyed view of the FCU [*Florida Conservative Union*], Mr. Bush's great sin is to have a passing acquaintance, if not friendship, with Mr. Rockefeller. Equally treacherous, Mr. Bush once belonged to the Trilateral Commission, an organization dedicated to strengthening political and economic ties among the United States, western Europe and Japan. 'True' conservatives immediately recognize the obscure dangers of such indiscriminate friendship and alliances. Aren't the British just aching to seize Washington and burn the White House again?"

"As FCU president Mike Thompson ominously warns, 'The same sort of people who supported Jimmy Carter (once president [*sic*] of the Trilateral Commission) are supporting George Bush.' That certainly ought to give Republic [*sic*] Party voters looking for a winner something to think about! . . . That's because, in their hearts, 'true' conservatives know that winning election isn't everything."

The *Post's* sudden concern for the Republican Party was not any more convincing than its error-filled sarcasm. It has not supported a Republican for President since 1952, when it suddenly discovered the virtues of Dwight Eisenhower, a man the "Liberal" Americans for Democratic Action had tried to get to run for the White House as a Democrat. As for George Bush being only a "passing acquaintance" of David Rockefeller, the facts are that he long served with David on the board of directors of the Council on Foreign Relations, was personally chosen by Rockefeller for membership in the Trilateral Commission, and long headed a company whose business was built on Rocke-

feller contracts. The *Washington Post* tried hard, but no one laughed.

A month later the *Post's* corporate twin, *Newsweek*, tried again. The article begins: "Critics describe [*the Trilateral Commission*] as a cabal of extremely powerful men 'out to control the world.' In fact it is merely a nonpartisan forum designed to promote 'partnership between North America, Western Europe and Japan.'" *Newsweek* went on to inform us:

"The Trilateral Commission is the brainchild of banker David Rockefeller, who founded it in 1973 after President Nixon shocked many of America's allies by announcing a series of drastic changes in U.S. international economic policy. As Rockefeller saw it, there was a need for more private consultation between American leaders and their counterparts in Western Europe and Japan . . . 'Governments don't have time to think about the broader, longer-range issues,' says Rockefeller, who personally and through family foundations finances a quarter of the commission's modest budget. 'It seemed to make sense to persuade a group of private, qualified citizens to get together to identify the key issues affecting the world and possible solution.'"

Somehow *Newsweek* neglected to mention that the supposed Nixon betrayal of Japan was in fact engineered by Secretary of State Henry Kissinger (C.F.R., T.C., and Chase Manhattan Bank), who is as much a property of the Rockefeller family as is Exxon. And isn't it nice of David Rockefeller to oversee "the broader, longer-range issues" of American foreign policy? *Newsweek* failed to ask Mr. Rockefeller just who elected him to do that. Nor did it question whether Rockefeller just might be more interested in the

worldwide empire of Exxon and the Chase Manhattan Bank than in the people of Peoria, Kansas City, and Encino. If a banker in Booneville put together a gang of his friends to manipulate the city council there would be a loud and justified roar from the press. But when David Rockefeller does it nationally, and is exposed, *Newsweek* leaps to his defense. Judging by the *Newsweek* report, maybe we just ought to cancel the next elections and let David and his friends run things without having to resort to conspiracy.

Other Establishment journals have also run features on the Trilateral Commission, all following the theme that it is really just a harmless study group devoted to improving relations between nations. Not one of them mentions the Rockefeller plan for a New World Order, spelled out repeatedly even in the public reports of the C.F.R. and the T.C., which would create "better relations" by submerging American sovereignty in a world government. After all, the public might take a hostile view of the Trilateral Commission if that were widely reported.

Some writers are now all but spilling tears over the poor, misunderstood Trilateralists. Lee Mitang of the Associated Press tells us: "As commission spokeswoman Erna Prickett put it rather mildly last week: 'We do have a bit of a public relations problem.' Simply put, the 250-member Trilateral Commission may be the most misunderstood — and as a result, mistrusted — group to be established in recent memory. . . . In view of the commission's tarnished popular image — and for the sake of those who imagine that David Rockefeller would form an organization like this as part of a global communist plot — the commission now says it will try to be

better understood. 'We're trying to put together a p.r. packet that goes into questions people have about us. We hope to distribute it to various organizations and groups, Rotary Clubs and so forth, that are interested in foreign affairs,' said Ms. Prickett. 'It's time to say emphatically that no, we're not a tool of this or that conspiracy,' she said."

Yes, one's heart bleeds to see David and his Trilateralists so misunderstood. But of course this and similar articles which have appeared in the *Wall Street Journal*, the *Los Angeles Times*, the Gannett papers, and the *New York Times* are so much swamp gas designed to becloud the issue. Reference to the Commission as a "communist plot" is emphasized to make criticism of the group seem ludicrous to the average reader. In fact no one anywhere claims that the Commission is run from the Kremlin. It is run from David Rockefeller's office at the Chase Manhattan Bank.

Meanwhile, the "Liberal" press ignores such things as the Summer 1977 issue of *Dialogue*, official publication of the Commission, which was titled: "Managing Global Problems: Avenues For Trilateral-Communist Collaboration." This report recommends federation of the advanced nations with the Communist states, to be followed later by amalgamation with the Third World countries into the planned New World Order.

But the aspect of the Trilateral Commission which the mass media have the toughest time handling is its undeniable domination of the Carter Administration. After all, when Trilateralists were placed in the top foreign policy, finance, intelligence, and defense jobs of the Carter Administration it could hardly be dismissed as a coincidence. Robert Mag-

nuson of the *Los Angeles Times*, who interviewed the misunderstood David Rockefeller about this, writes:

"Nonsense, Rockefeller says. Some people 'have gotten the notion that (the Trilateral Commission) is a great conspiratorial organization that is trying to take over the world for some occult and evil purpose,' he says. 'Of course, the truth is so far from that it is impossible to explain to people when they have a fixed idea of something that doesn't exist.'

"Granted, Jimmy Carter also belonged to the commission, before he took office, and so at one time did more than a dozen members of his cabinet and staff, including his foreign policy national security advisor, Zbigniew Brzezinski. But the reason, Rockefeller contends, is entirely innocent. 'Coming from Georgia . . . Carter had very little international or even national exposure,' he argues. 'Carter found in Trilateral a lot of very able people representing different areas and points of view that he needed in government.'"

Remember Carter's 1976 campaign promise to bring new faces to Washington? It was the appeal of getting rid of this decades-long disaster coalition that put Carter in office. But as soon as the returns were in he double-crossed the voters by bringing in David Rockefeller's Democrat team to replace the master banker's Republican team which had been running the Government under Nixon-Ford. The former key man, Henry Kissinger, immediately left the White House to join his sponsor and benefactor at the Chase Manhattan Bank.

Magnuson continues in the *Los Angeles Times*: "Strident critics depict Rockefeller as a kind of grand puppeteer, pulling strings behind the scenes that topple governments and change the course of world events.

Not surprisingly, it is an image Rockefeller abhors. He considers himself merely a concerned citizen who is not afraid to stand up for his beliefs. 'If one has strong convictions, one must speak out and accept the consequences,' he says."

Isn't that noble? Too bad the article does not give us a few examples of how "concerned citizen" Rockefeller sacrifices the financial power of the Rockefeller empire in behalf of his "strong convictions" against slavery and tyranny in Russia and China. As a matter of fact, Mr. Rockefeller's "strong convictions" are always and everywhere harmonious with the interests of the Chase Manhattan Bank and Exxon. But not one of the articles from Associated Press, the *Wall Street Journal*, the *Los Angeles Times*, the *Washington Post*, *Newsweek*, and the *Gannett* papers even hints at such a possibility. Either the writers for these journals are so incredibly naïve that their lives are in jeopardy every time they walk across a city street, or they are taking a dive.

What is ironic about all of this is that with David Rockefeller now trying to play down his image as the chief mover and shaker in the megabuck world of international banking, a television special made months before was confirming what Conservative critics have been saying. Made for "Bill Moyers' Journal," and called "The World Of David Rockefeller," it appeared recently on PBS television. Since it was made for government television there was no way to kill the thing without alerting an already suspicious public. Since it was given next to no advance publicity, only a handful of us effete snobs saw what must be considered the most important and revealing broadcast ever to reach the cathode tube. If you were spending your time

that night with "Charlie's Angels," consider what you missed.

In his introduction to "The World Of David Rockefeller," Mr. Moyers tells us that he is going to accompany Rockefeller on a trip to eight cities in seven days. "The world of power operates behind closed doors," says Moyers, who was Lyndon Johnson's personal assistant and is a member of David's Council on Foreign Relations. "But, I'll be along, listening, trying to interpret, hoping to understand something of the world of David Rockefeller — the world of money and power." Moyers adds of David: "He represents something measured beyond money. He represents power." That, as it happens, is just what a dozen national periodicals were at that very moment going to great extremes to deny.

Moyers told us that last summer Jimmy Carter asked David to become Secretary of the Treasury, but Rockefeller declined for the third time. When Moyers asked Rockefeller what could be more interesting than serving in the highest councils of the federal government, the billionaire from Babylon, New York, replied simply: "My job." The television production resulted when Rockefeller agreed to let Moyers follow him for a week to report what makes David's life so fascinating. Bill Moyers calls David "the unelected if indisputable chairman of the American Establishment," and tells us that the trip was arranged before the American consulate in Iran was invaded and our staff taken hostage. That places it well before the Trilateral Commission issue broke in the Republican primaries.

Moyers goes on to state: "I am not much of a conspiracy-monger. The way the world of international money-lending works, especially where power, wealth and contracts

converge, makes conspiracy redundant." What Mr. Moyers is saying, openly and arrogantly, is that international conspiracy and the world of David Rockefeller are synonymous. It is true, of course, if imprudent of Moyers to say so. What it means is that all of the copouts now being written about Rockefeller and the Trilateral Commission are part of a coverup.

Bill Moyers euphemizes the redundant conspiracy this way: "Rockefeller's own personal fortune is enormous, but his base is the Chase Manhattan Bank: assets over \$65 billion. Rockefeller owns more of its stock than anyone else. And the bank in turn controls large blocks of stock in dozens of companies here and abroad. Yet the source of this power is something more. Rockefeller sits at the hub of a vast network of financiers, industrialists, and politicians whose reach encircles the globe."

As we join Mr. Moyers, David Rockefeller, and their entourage in David's private Grumman Gulfstream the first stop is Paris, where Chase Manhattan's man on the spot is Ridgeway Knight, a former U.S. Ambassador and Assistant Secretary of State who obviously feels he has been promoted. Moyers asks him if he could be considered David Rockefeller's minister without portfolio. Knight replies: "Well, I would be terribly flattered. If that were true, I would be very happy." Bill Moyers observes: "It's amazing to me that in his world the bank operates as, like a country does." Knight responds: "Well, what impresses me most is that I've represented a number of Presidents, and I've spoken for a number of Secretaries of State, but I've never seen doors open more easily than when I say I'm coming for David Rockefeller. It's fantastic!"

Indeed it is, Mr. Knight. And will

you please repeat that for those who say your boss's Trilateral Commission is really just an international version of the Des Moines Boosters' Club?

Back on the Gulfstream, Moyers reads David a headline out of a newspaper proclaiming that the Marxist-Leninists who have just captured Nicaragua are going to tell that country's creditor bankers to stick their debts in their ears. David Rockefeller is no more perturbed than if he had just been told he must have white toast instead of rye with his breakfast. The master banker replies:

"Obviously, it's not a headline that bankers like to read, and if it were a general problem, it would be serious. My guess is that even in the case of Nicaragua they're going to find that they need the international banking community; and that, if they ever want to use it again, they're not just going to be able to cancel all their prior debt and start from scratch as though nothing had happened. So I wonder whether, in the long run, that will be their final reaction."

In other words, somebody soon will explain the facts of life to the Sandinistas of Nicaragua. After all, the Soviet Union cannot afford too many Welfare client states like Cuba.

Sure enough, several days later, the Reds in Nicaragua agreed to pay the country's debts. Bill Moyers comments: "That's another paradox of the world, that this new Left-leaning regime in Nicaragua is tied with the epitome of the capitalist system." David Rockefeller replies: "Well, that's not the first time." Rockefeller understands these things. After all, the giant Russian Kama River factory that made the trucks which transported the Soviet Army into Afghanistan was financed by David and his friends.

The highlight of Moyers' week at the side of David Rockefeller was the annual meeting of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank. It was held in Communist Yugoslavia. On the opening night Rockefeller attended a reception described by Moyers in these terms: "You are reminded of a Hollywood movie opening, but the power these people represent is the real thing." As the world's financial potentates lined up to shake David's hand, Moyers told his television audience: "The most important people in the world of international finance are gathered here in this room — Ministers of Finance, Secretaries of Treasuries, governors of central banks — and everybody is here for the same purpose: money. They've come from almost all the countries of the world to make the contacts that make the deals, seek loans, make loans, and, when all the social festivities are over, to have some very serious discussion about some very important problems."

As David Rockefeller confers behind closed doors with one of his customers, Communist dictator Josip Broz Tito, Moyers talks with Murray Seeger of the *Los Angeles Times* about David's happy relations with the Communists. Seeger tells the cameras:

"The name Rockefeller, of course, has an international cachet about it. It's a magic name around the world. My own particular experience with him was in Moscow. I was working in Moscow as a correspondent when Chase Manhattan opened the first American office . . . Soviet officials lined up half an hour early for the cocktail party. No one in America could believe anything like this, but here these people were standing out in the street in Moscow half an hour early, waiting to come in, shake

hands with Mr. Rockefeller, and enjoy this spread and welcome him to Moscow. What was ironic, for those of us who lived there, was that within the week before the official Soviet press had been denouncing the Rockefellers by name for their investments in Chile and Peru, and in Latin America in general . . . This kind of contradiction doesn't bother the Soviets."

It doesn't bother the Rockefellers either. Moyers asked Rockefeller what it was like to deal with a capitalist country one day and a Communist dictator the next. David replied:

"Well, I have to say that having been in this business now for thirty-three years, I find one has to be very pragmatic and flexible about these things, and that relations with governments regardless of the political label that's attached to them depend to a large extent on people and human relationships; and just because a country is technically called communist doesn't mean that a capitalist institution such as the Chase Manhattan can't deal with them on a mutually beneficial basis. And indeed we do deal with most of the so-called communist countries of the world on a basis that has worked out very well, I think, for both of us."

A question that Moyers did not ask was why America spends \$140 billion a year to defend us from the Soviets while he builds factories for them that turn out military trucks and missile launchers. Is David really misunderstood, or is this part of the redundant conspiracy?

Rockefeller tells Moyers: "... when one becomes an international banker one really has to cross the bridge as to whether you feel that it's the role of the international banker to try to persuade other nations and people to handle their affairs in a manner that is politically or econom-

ically more to our liking . . . I personally don't see anything immoral or improper with our dealing with people with very diverse views, even if they conduct their affairs in a way that we might even find quite repugnant."

One must assume that David would gladly have loaned Hitler money to buy Cyclon B. And yet this is the man the *Los Angeles Times* assures us is a "concerned citizen" who has "strong convictions" and will always "speak out and accept the consequences."

One evening at that conference in Yugoslavia David Rockefeller held his own reception for those in attendance. While the world's money monarchs again queued up to pay tribute to David, Moyers interviewed economic journalist John Dizard and asked him what was going on at the reception. Dizard answered: "Well, this is where the real business of the meetings gets going. There's very little or really nothing of substance that'll be decided at the actual meetings of the IMF . . . I'd say that these people, or rather the financial system as a whole and these people run it, place the limits, in effect, on what any sovereign nation can do."

At the end of the reception Moyers told his national television audience: "The party's over, and some party it was — over a thousand guests lined up to shake David Rockefeller's hand. On the plane the other day I asked David Rockefeller, 'What is power?' And he didn't give me a very good answer. He said he really didn't know. Well, the answer was here tonight. Those handshakes say it all. They're the gestures that shape the nation's destiny, where its people will live, what they will eat, what buildings get built, what dams completed, what jobs created, who gets rich, and who doesn't. In a world where money

talks, this is the language in which it speaks."

In other words such bankers are far more important than the politicians who appear to run a country. In fact, Moyers comments: "Some people think that banks today are larger and more important than countries because they operate across geographical and political boundaries, and that they've become a new force in the world."

As if to prove the point, the Rockefeller entourage was soon off to Italy where David met with the heads of the major multinational corporations which dominate that nation. Frank Stankard of Chase Manhattan commented that "the facade of changing government is always in the newspaper." To which Rockefeller replied: "And in thirty years there have only been three, up to now, governors of the Bank of Italy, whereas there have been Prime Ministers, sometimes more, more than one a year."

In other words, the politicians come and go like sailors on leave but the central bank continues to govern.

While in Italy, David called on clients in the Vatican, which Moyers found highly symbolic. He tells his audience from St. Peter's Square: "... men like David Rockefeller move beyond religious, political, cultural, national boundaries with great ease. And here in St. Peter's Square, the heart of the Roman Catholic faith, there's something very symbolic to me about that. The Church has always transcended national, political and cultural lines and so, in its own way, does the universal church of Money. It goes where it will and the laws of no single nation can regulate it. It even has its own Curia. You've seen some of them this week ... if they don't have a single pope, although some people think David

Rockefeller is, he certainly is one of its chief cardinals."

Bill Moyers continues by telling us that "Private citizen David Rockefeller is accorded privileges of a head of state." He even crosses national boundaries without going through customs or showing his passport. Says Moyers: "The boundaries that separate one nation from another are no more real to them [*the Rockefeller gang*] than the Equator Multinational companies like Chase straddle the world Chase has over 100 branches tied in with 6,000 correspondent banks doing business all over the globe." Little wonder that David Rockefeller is determined to run American foreign policy.

Moyers was a White House intimate of a President of the United States, but he is obviously overwhelmed and awed by what he has seen on this trip. Himself a member of the Rockefeller C.F.R., he can't resist a polemical point: "Here in this room it struck me as staggeringly impressive and not a little scary that a relatively small number of global entrepreneurs have accomplished what escaped the League of Nations and the U.N. — they have, in one way, created one world, governed by the cold logic of profit."

Profit is certainly part of it. But profit and power are as intertwined as snakes in a barrel. Bill Moyers has testified to the fact that David is the money czar of the world, but he is certainly aware that Rockefeller has not yet been successful in his continuing efforts to subject American sovereignty to the rule of world government. That is what the Rockefeller C.F.R. and Trilateral Commission are all about. And that is why they are fighting desperately to maintain their grip on the U.S. Government whether there is a Republican or a Democrat in the White House. ■ ■